Filed 1/30/02

## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH DISTRICT

## **DIVISION TWO**

## **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

E029536

v.

(Super.Ct.No. FSB01208)

OTTO HERNAN SANCHEZ,

OPINION

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. W. Robert Fawke, Judge.

David L. Polsky, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Following his guilty plea to attempted extortion (Pen. Code, § 524) and two counts of fraudulent use of a contractor's license number (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 7027.3), Otto Hernan Sanchez (defendant) was granted probation, which had been agreed to as part of his plea bargain. He did not appeal. Four years later, his probation was revoked for violation of

two of its terms and he was sentenced to prison for four years four months.

Defendant appealed and upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered the defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which he has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

		RAMIREZ	
		TU IIVIITEE	P. J.
We concur:			
HOLLENHORST	J.		
GAUT			